

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Planning policy review on land to the east of Pincents Hill .  
**Date:** 30 January 2025 12:57:02

---

**This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.**

---

I have been reviewing your proposed changes to building boundaries to the east of Pincents Hill (PMC 14 Annex M maps) and would comment as follows.

I understand plans are underway for a development of 135 properties on land to the east of Pincents Hill which I believe is a revamp of a number of previous applications made and rejected over the past few years. These plans were previously rejected for a number of reasons that are on record and the site was deemed as unsuitable for development. So far as I am aware none of these issues have been addressed nor do I feel would it be possible to change this position.

I am very concerned to see that your proposal has expanded the area of planned building to take in land that was previously outside the building perimeter and now takes in land that is what has long been considered as greenbelt land including long established woodland.

It seems that this change to incorporate this area in a planned building area is only being done to make a possible access to the area through this previous green belt land, and even expand building on a site that has and should be left as a natural green area as it has been for so long.

It would seem to have been better to have looked at alternative sites and to abandon this area entirely including the new proposed application to the site to the east of Pincents Hill. Nothing has changed having regard to the suitability to develop this site for all the reasons given in the objections given to past applications.